

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,727	07/15/2003	Wouter E. Roorda	50623,211	7043
45159 7590 12/23/2008 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP 1 MARTTIME PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/619,727 ROORDA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Carlos A. Azpuru 1615 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6.8 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5.7.13.14 and 17-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-12, 15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the request for continued examination filed 09/29/2008.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's remarks concerning the Ids filed 03/2003 have been noted. A review of the file finds that the original IDS contained the cover letter, but no attached PTOL-1449 (a least in the scanned version). The resubmitted version of 07/2007 can not be converted to a PDF file by the system since it is not and IDS filing per se, but instead attached to the remarks. For some reason, this system does not recognize it for PDF conversion. Applicant is requested to resubmit the IDS including the cover letter with a copy of the filing receipt so that the references may be considered. This examiner apologizes for the inconvenience.

The following rejection is maintained in this action:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,727

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims now set out a second region comprising "a polymer and a substance having a melting point within the range between about 32 C and 40 C". However, the specification at page 13, lines 20-21, the specification sets out that the second phase can include a material which can be a monomer, oligomer or polymer. Therefore this substance may actually be a polymer forming a copolymer blend or the polymer itself, the polymer itself may have this property without need of another material added. If the polymer does not meet this limitation, then the other material may be added. However, at no time does the specification require BOTH the polymer and addition material. Therefore, the newly added limitation setting out the polymer AND substance is considered new matter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/29/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is sufficient support in the specification and that these limitations were part of the original claims. As such, applicant is requested to amend the Application/Control Number: 10/619,727

Art Unit: 1615

specification in order to make it commensurate with the claim limitations. This amendment will then make the rejection moot. A supplemental search will be done at that time. The rejection is maintained until the amendment is made to the specification.

Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/619727. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1615

.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615 Application/Control Number: 10/619,727

Page 6

Art Unit: 1615